REFUTATION OF SULAYMAN AL-ALWAN

BY: SHAYKH SULTAN AL-UTAYBI

From The Archives:

الرسائل الأثرية

نص الحوار

يقول العلوان :

فلا نعالج ظلم الصليبيين بالتفجير في بلاد المسلمين وترويعهم وزعزعة أمنهم وتعطيل كثير من المصالح

قلت :

التفجير في بلاد المسلمين ، ماذا تقصد يا علوان من هذه العبارة ؟! هل أنت تظن أن المجاهدين إذا فجروا في بلاد المسلمين ضد الصليبيين أنهم يقصدون تخويف المسلمين وترويعهم لا أظن أنك تعتقد هذا ، إذاً فماذا تقصد بالتفجير .

التفجير في بلاد المسلمين ضد مصالح العدو الصليبي والصهيوني من جهاد الدفع فكيف يخرج العدو الصليبي من بلاد المسلمين إذا لم يُفعل به مثل هذا ، وقد أفتيت سابقاً للمجاهدين بجواز العمليات الإستشهادية وخصوصاً في فلسطين ، فعلى حد تعبيرك هذا لا يجوز العمليات الإستشهادية في فلسطين لأنها في بلاد مسلمين ، وأيضاً في أفغانستان فإن المجاهدين يضربون العدو الصليبي في بلاد المسلمين حتى يكف عن عدوانه وأيضاً في الشيشان وغيرها إلاَّ إذا كنت تفرق بين بلدك وبلاد المسلمين .

ونقول للعلوان : العدو الصليبي قد أنشأ على أرضك . بلاد الحرمين . قواعد عسكرية ، بل وصل الأمر إلى أن مشروع التمليك للأمريكان في بلاد الحرمين قد بدأ منذ سنوات .

ولن يخرج العدو الصليبي من بلاد الحرمين حتى يذوق ما ذاقه في فيتنام وغيرها ، ثم نقول يُفهم من كلامك أن كل ضربات المجاهدين التي مضت مثل : كول ، وضربة العليا والخبر ، أنها خطأ لأنها في بلاد المسلمين .

وقولك : وتعطيل كثير من المصالح أي مصلحة تقصدها ، فحدود الله قد عُطلت وحرماته تنتهك ومحاربة الله يُجهر بها في بلاد الحرمين ، وأحكام الجاهلية نُشرت وحُكم بها في دماء المسلمين وأولياء الله قُذِفوا في السجون ، والمجاهدون الأبطال عُذِبوا وأوذوا وسُلموا إلى هُبل العصر أمريكا ، ما هي المصالح التي تقصدها .

وأريد أن أُذكرك بقول إمام من أئمة الدعوة النجدية وهو الشيخ سليمان بن سحمان رحمه الله يقول : "فلو اقتتلت البادية والحاضرة حتى يذهبوا لكان أهون من أن ينصبوا في الأرض طاغوتاً يحكم بخلاف شريعة الإسلام"[1] .

وقولك :

ولا ندفع بشباب الأمة إلى المواجهة المسلحة والحرب الداخلية وتعريضهم للفتنة وسفك الدماء .

قلت :

يا علوان شباب المسلمين وأقصد المجاهدين الأبطال لا يريدون أصلاً المواجهة مع رجال الأمن ، وشباب الجهاد قد هجروا بيوتهم وديارهم هروباً من المواجهة .

وقولك : **تعريضهم للفتنة** هم أصلاً منذ أن طوردوا معرضون للفتنة من قبل رجال الأمن .

[1] الدرر السنية 510/10.

REFUTATION OF SULAYMAN AL-ALWAN

TAWHĪD CORPORATION

Text of the Dialogue

Al-Alwan says:

We do not deal with the oppression of the Crusaders by bombing in Muslim countries, terrorizing them, destabilizing their security, and disrupting many interests.

I said: The bombing in Muslim countries, what do you mean O Alwan from this phrase?! Do you think that if the Mujahidin explode in Muslim countries against the Crusaders, they intend to frighten and intimidate Muslims? I don't think you believe this, so what do you mean by bombing?

The bombing in Muslim countries is against the interests of the Crusader and Zionist enemy from the jihad of defense. How can the Crusader enemies get out of Muslim countries if something is not done to them? Muslims, and also in Afghanistan, the Mujahidin strike the Crusader enemy in Muslim countries until it stops its aggression, and also in Chechnya and elsewhere unless you differentiate between your country and Muslim countries.

We say to Al-Alwan: The Crusader enemy has been established on your land, the Land of the Two Holy Mosques. Military bases even have come to the point that the project of American ownership in the Land of the Two Holy Mosques began years ago. And the Crusader enemy will not leave the land of the Two Holy Mosques until he tastes what he tasted in Vietnam and elsewhere.

Then we say it is understood from your words that all the strikes of the Mujahidin that have passed are like Kool, Dariyat al-Alayya, and Khabar, but they are wrong because they are in Muslim countries. **And your statement:** And the obstruction of many interests, any interest that you intend, for Allah’s limits have been neglected, and His sanctities are violated, and the war against Allah is declared openly in the Land of the Two Holy Mosques, and the rulings of ignorance were published and how much they

were in the blood of Muslims and the allies of Allah were thrown into prisons, and the heroic Mujahidin were tortured and harmed and delivered to the madness of the age America, what are they?

The interests you are referring to, I will remind you of the words of one of the Imams of the Najd, Sheikh Suleiman bin Sahman, may Allah have mercy on him. He said, “If the city and the village had fought each other until they left, it would have been easier than to set up a tyrant in the land who rules contrary to the law of Islam.”

And your saying: We do not push the nation’s youth to armed confrontation and internal war, exposing them to sedition and bloodshed.

I said: The security and the youth of jihad have deserted their homes by a day to escape confrontation.

And your saying: We warn the youth of the nation against these foreign ideas.
I said: I don't know where you came up with this strange word? From the Deputy Minister of Interior or from the leader of interfaith advocates you are sitting with to invite to the truth, I think that the fruits of your sitting with these are starting to appear and come out.

And your statement: We believe that it is necessary to adhere to the rule of Allah and the legal evidence both at good and bad times.

I said: By Allah besides whom there is no god but Him, the Mujahidin did not go out to those lands and those fronts except that they wanted Allah’s Rule and His law, and I reckon that Allah will judge them, and I do not praise anyone over Allah, and we do not claim infallibility for them, but their error is covered in their struggle against the enemies of our religion and religion on the day that we stopped jihad with flimsy pretexts.

And your saying: And confronting the men of the official authorities with fighting and weapons.

I said: The men of the official authorities you are talking about are not men in the first place, where masculinity is honored. They are agents. Because they come as heroes while they are heedless of their affairs, instead, they raid homes, expose the faults, and violate the sanctities. I remembered a saying of Abu Jahl, the Pharaoh of this nation, when he was told, “Why do we not visit Muhammad’s house?” He said: “No, by Allah, I do not. The Arabs talk about me that I am the most wonderful daughter of Muhammad.” And he is Abu Jahl, the tyrant of Quraysh, so these bastards who the characteristics of hypocrites have overcome destroy homes, and they are numerous and armed with weapons, then they come to that righteous man whose crime is what? Does he do fornication? Does he drink alcohol? His crime is jihad in the way of Allah because he dusted his feet in the cause of Allah Almighty, and yet you want him not to defend himself. You know very well what he does to the mujahid in prisons, knowing that the Mujahidin did not fight these bastards to begin with.

And your saying about the hadith of the one who was killed without his money:
Whoever is killed when he refuses to spend his money is a martyr, and it does not mean that he fights until he is killed.

I said: This understanding is weird?! Where did you get it from the Deputy Minister of Interior or the leader of interfaith advocates?

Killing the men of the official authorities on the assumption of their injustice and oppression is not a matter of pushing the perpetrator into anything.

I said: Your statement on the assumption of their oppression and tyranny, it is understood from this phrase that they do not do injustice and do not transgress, and this is a deception on the nation and a misleading of the people of understanding the Lord of the Kaaba, and it is an action of the people of injustice in particular.
Allah revealed that it came to preserve the five necessities: religion, self, honor, reason, and money.

Therefore these necessities must be preserved by any means, and from here, Islam has prescribed repel the attacker, and the assailant: is the one who robs others by force, wanting himself, his money, or his honor.

And if he was a Muslim, if he prayed over the honor, he must be repelled by agreement of the jurists, even if it leads to his killing. Therefore the jurists have stipulated that it is not permissible for a woman to surrender to captivity even if she is killed if she fears for her honor [1].

The most correct in the doctrines of Malik and Shafi'i, even if it leads to the killing of a Muslim aggressor, in the authentic hadith: (He who is killed while defending his money is a martyr, and he who is killed while defending his blood is a martyr, and he who is killed while defending his religion is a martyr, and he who is killed while defending his family is a martyr) [2] Sahih hadith narrated by Ahmad, Abu Dawood, al-Tirmidhi and al-Nasa'i.

After this hadith, Al-Jassas said: "We do not know of a Caliphate that if a man drew a sword against a man to kill him unjustly, the Muslims had to kill him."[3]

In this case (the slayer), if the attacker is killed, he is in the fire, even if it is a Muslim, and if the just man is killed, he is a martyr.

This is the rule of Muslim attackers, so how if the infidels pray on the Land of the Muslims where religion and honor are exposed. And the soul and the money pass away. Is it not necessary in this case for the Muslims to repel the infidel attacker and the state?[4]

Those whom you called security men to rob a Muslim by force, wanting his life or his honor, and the story of Abdullah bin Amr, which is in the Sahih of Imam Muslim, when the Sultan wanted to take his land, the sword in his face was sharpened, and this companion is the narrator. After this story, Ibn Hazm transmitted the consensus of the Companions because they did not oppose nor object to Abdullah bin Amr.

Then who benefits from this fatwa?

---

[1] Jami' al-Ahkam (Collector of Provisions) 8/150

[2] Hashiyat Ibn Abdin 5/383, Al-Zayla'i 6/110, Mawaheb Al-Jaleel 323/6, Tuhfat Al-Muhtaj 1244, Al-Iqna' 290/4, Al-Rawdah Al-Bahiyah 2/371, Al-Bahr Al-Zakhkhar 6/268, Taj Al-Arous Sahih Al-Jami' Al-Sagheer by Al-Albani 6321

[3] The provisions of the Qur'an for Jasas 2402/1

[4] Look at the defense of Muslim lands by Sheikh Abdullah Azzam; may Allah have mercy on him

The first beneficiary of this fatwa is America and its agents from the treacherous rulers, and the first victim is the Mujahidin in the cause of Allah Almighty.

And do you not know, O Alwan, that this fatwa will stand against the ordinary people, students of knowledge, and against the Mujahidin who want to protect themselves and their honor from this formidable enemy.

Do you not know that the Mujahidin, after this shameful fatwa, will stand a lump in his throat until he surrenders himself to the enemy?

They may betray him and hand him over to the immoral misguidance whom you called men, as you put it.

It has been reported to me a lot that Al-Alwan wants an Islamic state, and he wants jihad, and he wants and wants and ... while he is sitting in his house.

So we say to him that these matters do not come except with sacrifices in the cause of Allah and fighting and bloodshed in the way of Allah.

And your saying**: And unite their ranks against the greatest enemy.**

Learning is a benefit, which is that if Bush wants to arrest you, he will not send a B-52 plane to you, and he will not send an American commando. And if you want to unite the ranks against the greatest enemy, as you mentioned, then issue a fatwa to them if you can bear harm for their sake, as you said in the permissibility of killing Americans in the Arabian Peninsula. You will know well who the agents are and who the Deputy Minister of Interior is.

All praise belongs to Allah, the Lord of creation. May the salah and salam [of Allah] be upon our prophet Muhammad, his family, and his companions ajmaʿin.

Any good is from Allah, and any mistakes in this translation is from the publisher - not from Shaykh Sultan al-Utaybi
May Allah have mercy on him

TAWHĪD CORPORATION

SAFAR 1443